

# Minutes

## BOARD OF APPEALS

AUGUST 3<sup>RD</sup>, 2000

The meeting was called to order at 7:05 PM.

On roll call, Messrs. Goetter, Boya, Gaulke, and Wallner. Also present was Chief Inspector Howard Gygax.

Ms. Goetter reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting, that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. Goetter also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request.

The first petition called was that of Nancy Lindenberg, Case No. 2385. Mr. Gygax stated that since the Board of Appeals meeting on July 6<sup>th</sup>, 2000, the City Ordinance has been changed, and because of the new requirements, Ms. Lindenberg was able to secure the permit for an accessory building without obtaining a variance. Mr. Goetter declared that Case No. 2385 to be withdrawn, and the Board proceeded to the next petition.

The next petition called was that of Barbara & James Perreault, Case No. 2386. Mr. Goetter read the petition. It was noted that thirty people were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Jim Perrault of 16455 W Addison Drive, came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Perrault stated that he would like to replace the existing garage that is 5.3 feet from the side lot line with a new garage that would meet the setback requirements of 15 feet. It was noted that if the new garage were to be built within the setback requirements, the maximum depth of the garage would be 15 feet. Mr. Perrault said that he would like to build a modest sized garage of 24 feet by 24 feet. He stated that his property is a corner lot and that he has two front yards, which limits the placement of the garage. Mr. Perrault said that the new garage would be built even with the existing house and would utilize the existing driveway. It was noted that the house and garage was built in 1957 and that the Petitioner has owned the property for 12 years.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2386 was declared closed, and the Board proceeded to the next petition.

The next petition called was that of Mike Bleadorn, Case No. 2387. Mr. Goetter read a letter into the record from Mr. Bleadorn, of 15040 W Lincoln Avenue, requesting that his petition for a variance be withdrawn. Case No. 2387 was declared withdrawn, and the Board proceeded to the next petition.

The next petition called was that of the S.J.Janis Company, Case No. 2388. Mr. Goetter read the petition. It was noted that nineteen people were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Bruce Bielawsla of the S.J.Janis Company, came forward to speak in favor of the petition for 4353 S Crescent Drive. Mr. Bielawsla presented the Board with plans of the proposed garage and rear house addition. He stated that only a portion of the addition would be in violation of the setback requirements. Mr. Bielawsla said that the footings are already poured, and that if the variance were denied, a new plan would have to be drawn up and the existing footings would have to be filled in. It was noted that the existing garage is 22 feet by 23 feet and is small in size. Laura and Leon Schlessner, owners of the property at 4353 S Crescent Drive, came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mrs. Schlessner stated that the garage is not large enough to store all of their things and their cars as well, and that at present, the cars sit outside. Mrs. Schlessner said that the sunroom would provide an aesthetically pleasing front entranceway to their home that they do not have at this time. It was noted that the house

sits on an angle in the middle of two lots. It was noted that only one corner of the proposed garage would be in violation of the setback requirements.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2388 was declared closed. The Board then proceeded to the closed portion of the meeting to make the following decisions.

The first petition considered by the Board was that of Barbara & James Perreault, Case No.2386. Mr. Wallner made a motion to grant the petition, and, Mr. Gaulke seconded the motion. All members voted in favor of granting the petition.

The next petition considered by the Board was that of the S. J. Janis Co., Case No. 2388.

Mr. Gaulke made a motion to grant the petition, and, Mrs. Boya seconded the motion.

All members voted in favor of granting the petition.

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 7:45 PM.

BOARD OF APPEALS  
CITY OF NEW BERLIN

---

Joseph J. Dorlack, Chairman