

BOARD OF APPEALS

December 1, 2005

The meeting was called to order at New Berlin City Hall, at 7:00 PM.

On roll call, Chairman McGrath, Galke, Wallner, and Goetter. Also present was Inspection Services Manager Robert Sigris.

Excused: Brian Loohaus, James Klappa, and Dennis Bohan

Motion by John Goetter to approve the minutes from the Board of Appeals meeting from November 3, 2005, seconded by Milton Galke and carried unanimously.

Chairman McGrath reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting, that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. McGrath also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request. Mr. McGrath asked the petitioner if he would like to continue with the case or carry it over until the next meeting when more members would be present. The petitioner wished to proceed.

The first petition called was that of Alfred Ifarinde, Case No.2513. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 24 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. Ifarinde, homeowner of 5845 S Timber Ridge Ct came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Ifarinde explained the main reason for getting a variance for the Patio enclosure is due to his son having severe allergies. The patio enclosure would help his son enjoy the outdoors without really having to be outside. There were also letters from neighbors, the doctor and the Architectural Control Committee in favor of the petition. The Architectural Control Committee approves as long as four conditions are met. They are as follows:

1. Issue of a valid building permit from the City of New Berlin.
2. Adherence to Municipal Codes and Setback requirements.
3. Structure to have a shingled roof substantially matching the existing roof and in compliance with subdivision deed restrictions as to texture and type of shingles.
4. Exterior siding to be natural cedar boards and should match existing siding on dwelling.

The petitioner also had Andreas Trippner from Patio Enclosures to explain how they were going to build the enclosure.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2513 was declared closed.

Mr. McGrath declared the evidentiary portion of the meeting completed, and the Board made the following decisions.

The first petition considered by the Board was that of Alfred Ifarinde, Case No. 2513. Mr. Goetter made a motion to approve the petition and, Mr. Wallner seconded the motion.

The petition for a variance was approved 4-0.

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 7:30 PM.

Respectfully Submitted

Lori Schulpus, Inspection
Clerk/Typist