

BOARD OF APPEALS

November 2, 2006

The meeting was called to order at New Berlin City Hall, at 7:00 PM.

On roll call, Brian McGrath Chairman, Goetter, Valerius, Loohaus, Galke and Bohlen. Also present was Inspection Services Manager Robert Sigrist.

Excused: James Klappa

Motion by John Goetter to approve the minutes from the Board of Appeals meeting from October 5, 2006 as corrected, seconded by Brian Loohaus and carried unanimously.

Chairman McGrath reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting, that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. McGrath also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request.

The first petition called was that of Michael & Mary Chmielewski, Case No.2531. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 29 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. & Mrs. Chmielewski, owners of 14836 W Mayflower Ct came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. & Mrs. Chmielewski explained the main reason for getting a variance for the sunroom was to enjoy and enhance the value of their home. Mr. & Mrs. Chmielewski have lived in their home for 24 years and desire to remain in New Berlin for years to come. There was a letter signed from the surrounding neighbors in favor of the sunroom.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2531 was declared closed.

At this point there was a motion to suspend the rules and decide on the case that was presented. Mr. Galke made a motion to suspend the rules and the motion was seconded by Mr. Loohaus.

The motion was approved unanimously.

The first petition considered by the Board was that of Michael & Mary Chmielewski, Case No. 2531. Mr. Loohaus made a motion to approve the petition and, Mr. Galke seconded the motion.

The petition for a variance was approved 4 to 1.

The second petition called was that of TRD Real Estate, Case No. 2529. TRD Real Estate was at the October 5 Board of Appeals meeting and was tabled due to needing more information from the Plan Commission. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 30 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. The Board then discussed the additional information that was provided, which was the offer to purchase.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2529 was declared closed.

The second petition considered by the Board was that of TRD Real Estate, Case No.2529. Mr. McGrath made a motion to approve the request for a variance from the rule prohibiting accessory structures without the principal structure, but that the variance expires in 6 months and both parcels have to be combined from the date of this meeting and deny the request for the setbacks and leave the building as legal non-conforming and Mr. Bohlen seconded the motion.

The petition for the variance was approved unanimously.

Mr. Goetter made a motion to decide each case as they are presented and suspend the rules and the motion was

seconded by Mr. Bohan.

The motion was approved unanimously.

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM.

Respectfully Submitted

Lori Schulpus, Inspection
Clerk/Typist