

BOARD OF APPEALS

August 3, 2006

The meeting was called to order at New Berlin City Hall, at 7:00 PM.

On roll call, Jim Klappa, Acting Chairman, Valerius, Galke, Loohaus, and Bohlen. Also present was Inspection Services Manager Robert Sigrist.

Excused: John Goetter and Brian McGrath

Motion by Dennis Bohlen to approve the minutes from the Board of Appeals meeting from July 6, 2006, seconded by Brian Loohaus and carried unanimously.

Acting Chairman Klappa reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. Klappa also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request.

The first petition called was that of Glen & Sharon Baumann, Case No. 2525. Mr. Klappa read the petition. It was noted that 35 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. & Mrs. Baumann, homeowner of 14310 Rogers Drive came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. & Mrs. Baumann explained that the main reason for getting a variance is so they can store seasonal equipment in the shed. The petitioner's also stated that due to the shape of their property the back yard is very small and would have no room for a shed.

There was one person to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition of the petition. Mr. Randall Bubolz, 14300 Rogers Drive, the neighbor on the North side where the shed would be build spoke in favor of the shed. Case No. 2525 was declared closed.

The second petition called was that of Michael Shannon, Case No. 2526. Mr. Klappa read the petition. It was noted that 235 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. Shannon, homeowner of 3095 Laurel Dr came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Shannon explained that the main reason for getting a variance is so his kids would have a place to play. Mr. Shannon stated that he is surrounded by conservancy all around his home and this is the only area which he can build. The petitioner also stated that you would not see the sport court due to his home being the only one in the court area. Mr. Klappa also read a letter that a neighbor signed being in favor of the variance.

There was no one to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition of the petition. Case No. 2526 was declared closed.

Mr. Klappa declared the evidentiary portion of the meeting completed, and the Board made the following decisions.

The first petition considered by the Board was that of Glen & Sharon Baumann, Case No.2525. Mr. Loohaus made a motion to approve the petition, and Mr. Galke seconded the motion.

The petition for a variance was approved 5 to 0.

The second petition considered by the Board was that of Michael Shannon, Case No.2526. Mr. Bohlen made a motion to approve the petition, and Mr. Loohaus seconded the motion.

The petition for a variance was approved 5 to 0.

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 7:30 PM.

Respectfully Submitted

Lori Schulpius, Inspection
Clerk/Typist