

BOARD OF APPEALS

July 12, 2007

Please note: Minutes are unofficial until approved by the Board of Appeals at their next regular scheduled meeting.

The meeting was called to order at New Berlin City Hall, at 7:00 PM.

On roll call, Brian McGrath Chairman, Loohauis, Klappa, Galke, and Valerius . Also present was Code Compliance Specialist Jessica Schmidt.

Excused: John Goetter and Dennis Bohlen

Motion by Jim Klappa to approve the minutes from the Board of Appeals meeting from May 3, 2007, seconded by Brian Loohauis and carried unanimously.

Chairman McGrath reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. McGrath also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request.

The first petition called was that of Ann & Bob Rohloff, Case No.2540. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 14 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. & Mrs. Rohloff owner of 17025 W Sundown came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Rohloff explained the main reason for getting a variance for the accessory building is for a painting studio for his wife.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was one person to speak in opposition to the petition.

Joe Russ of 16800 Shadow Dr. came forward to speak in opposition. Mr. Russ is worried about run off issues.

Case No. 2535 was declared closed.

**The petition considered by the Board was that of Ann & Bob Rohloff, Case No. 2540. Mr. Klappa made a motion to deny the variance and Mr. Loohauis seconded the motion.**

**The petition to deny the variance was approved 5 to 0.**

The second petition called was that of Daniel Ervard, Case No.2541. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 20 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. Ervard owner of 21965 W Lochinvar Lane came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Ervard explained the main reason for getting a variance for the garage addition was they have 3 cars and only a 2 car garage. They just need the variance for the overhang because without the overhang on it would look out of place.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2541 was declared closed.

**The petition considered by the Board was that of Daniel Ervard, Case No. 2541. Mr. Loohauis made a motion to approve the variance and Mr. Valarius seconded the motion.**

**The petition for a variance was approved 5 to 0.**

The third petition called was that of David and Kim Bachman, Case No.2542. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 37 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. David and Kim Bachman, owners of 1601 S 168 Street came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mrs. Bachman explained the main reason for getting a variance for the accessory building is that the old one needs to be replaced and they need to extend the new one 4 feet due to a disability.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. Case No. 2542 was declared closed.

**The petition considered by the Board was that of David and Kim Bachman, Case No. 2542. Mr. Galke made a motion to approve the variance and Mr. Loohauis seconded the motion.**

**The petition for a variance was approved 5 to 0.**

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM.

Respectfully Submitted

Lori Schulpus, Inspection  
Clerk/Typist