

BOARD OF APPEALS

February 7, 2008

Please note: Minutes are unofficial until approved by the Board of Appeals at their next regular scheduled meeting.

The meeting was called to order at New Berlin City Hall, at 7:00 PM.

On roll call, Chairman Brian McGrath, Bohen, Loohauis and Goetter. Also present was Inspection Services Manager Robert Sigrist and Lori Schulpus Inspection Services.

Excused: Anthony Valerius, Jim Klappa & Milt Galke

Motion by Mr. Bohen to approve the minutes from the Board of Appeals meeting from January 3, 2008, seconded by Mr. Loohauis and carried unanimously.

Chairman McGrath reviewed the procedures for taking testimony for the pending petitions with the persons assembled for the meeting, noting that if your case was approved, a building permit is required and it can be picked up at the Building Inspection Department. Mr. McGrath also noted that it takes 4 affirmative votes to approve any variance request.

The first petition called was that of Joe Staral, Case No.2556. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 15 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. & Mrs. Staral Street came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Staral explained that the main reason for getting a variance is for more storage space to replace the current slab and structure that is there now.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. The evidence in Case No. 2556 was declared closed.

The petition considered by the Board was that of Joe Staral, Case No. 2556. Mr. Goetter made a motion to deny the request and Mr. Loohauis seconded the motion.

The motion to deny the variance passed 4 to 0.

The second petition called was that of David Koscielnaik, Case No.2557. Mr. McGrath read the petition. It was noted that 26 addresses were notified by mail and that publication had been made on two occasions. Mr. Koscielnaik came forward to speak in favor of the petition. Mr. Koscielniak explained the main reason for getting a variance is they would like to hang a bay window. The setbacks changed for a side on corner setback. When the house was built they would have been in compliance to add the window. It was noted that there were 7 letters from neighbors in the area that approved of the change.

There was no one further to speak in favor of the petition, and there was no one to speak in opposition to the petition. The evidence in Case No. 2557 was declared closed.

The petition considered by the Board was that of David Koscielniak, Case No. 2557. Mr. Bohen made a motion to Approve the request and Mr. Loohauis seconded the motion.

The motion to approve the variance passed 4 to 0.

There being no further matters to be discussed in front of the Board of Appeals, the said meeting was adjourned at 8:00 PM.

Respectfully Submitted

Lori Schulpus, Inspection
Clerk/Typist